

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/796,086	OKUNO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	

All Participants:

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.

(2) Raymond F. Cardillo, for Applicant(s).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

20-29

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: As a result of the restriction requirement (attached herein), the applicant(s) have elected Group I, Claims 20, 25 and 28. The applicant(s) have agreed to cancel Claims 21-24, 26, 27 and 29, which are directed to an invention non-elected, and now places the application in condition for allowance..